

# Assessment Criteria and Scoring Methodology for Major Grants over £15,000

## PHAB Pre- screening

All applications would have been assessed by the Expression of Interest panel before being invite to submit a full application for this round

## Assessment Criteria and Scoring Methodology

Each Grant Application will be scored against the Assessment Criteria using the scoring mechanism described.

The Assessment Criteria are comprised of 10 scored questions. Each question will be marked out of 3. All questions are weighted equally, excluding the evaluation question (which will be scored 0-3 in 0.5 denominations). Evaluation scores will be provided by Essex County Council Evaluation team.

### Score Assessment criteria \*

3 -The response was robust, detailed, well-articulated in all material respects providing robust evidence that the criteria would be met, with no weaknesses or areas of concern with the content

2 -The response presented evidence that the criteria would be met, good in many respects but with minor weaknesses or concerns with the content

1 -The response provided limited evidence that the criteria would be met, there were major weaknesses or concerns with the content. The response lacked significant detail/or clarity.

0 -The response did not provide evidence that the criteria would be met; and was wholly unsatisfactory in terms of content. Major weaknesses, issues or omissions were identified. The response was poorly articulated and/or inconsistent.

\*the assessment criteria may change slightly per question, but the scoring methodology remains the same for questions 1-9.

\*\*Evaluation scoring will follow the same methodology as above but will also include the below :

2.5-The evaluation response presented strong evidence that that the project would implement expected methodology to capture data sources, measures, demonstration of collection tools, evaluation timeframes, key milestones, deliverables and mindfulness with GDPR. Good in many respects but there are some elements that could be strengthened

1.5- The evaluation response provided some evidence that the project would implement expected methodology to capture data sources, measures, demonstration of collection tools, evaluation timeframes, key milestones, deliverables and mindfulness with GDPR. The response lacked detail/or clarity

0.5-The evaluation response did not provide evidence that the project would implement expected methodology to capture data sources, measures, demonstration of collection tools, evaluation timeframes, key milestones, deliverables and mindfulness with GDPR and was limited in terms of content. Major weaknesses, issues or omissions were identified

Total available marks are out of 30

### **Calculation of Points**

Grant applications, will be awarded points based on the following:

- Project approach clearly identified
- Major factors that can prevent future illness identified
- Project objectives aligned to the Essex Wellbeing, Public Health and Communities Plan
- Demonstrated need of project
- Demonstrated project inclusivity
- Demonstrated sustainability
- Project Return of Investment
- Grant applications that have been co-designed and co-developed with local communities
- Grant Applications from areas of deprivation or underserved communities
- Evaluation (ECC Evaluation team to score)

These measures align with the grant criteria shared with organisations. Assessors score will not be shared however feedback is available on request.